

*IPG No: P076C***REMARKS**

Claims 27-30 have been amended. No claim has been cancelled. Claims 31-37 have been added. Claims 27-37 remain pending.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claim 27 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,959,717, issued on September 28, 1999, to Chaum. Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), a claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. MPEP § 2131. Claim 27 has been amended. Withdraw of the rejection for anticipation is requested.

As amended, claim 27 recites a projection method. An image is projected. A text box with text on top of the image is projected through a light path modifier positioned at an offset from an object plane defined by a relay lens, resulting in the text box having diffused borders.

Chaum fails to teach the second projection effectuated through a light path modifier positioned at an offset from an object plane defined by a relay lens. Instead, Chaum discloses a system used for preventing unauthorized taping of movies where "holes" are left in the movie frames, and such "holes" are plugged by a second projection coming from a video projector (Col. 2, lines 1 – 45). Therefore, Chaum fails to describe each and every claim element, and therefore does not anticipate Claim 27, as amended. Withdraw of the rejection for anticipation is requested.

IPG No: P076C

Rejection for Double Patenting

Claims 27-30 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,244. A terminal disclaimer is included in this Office Action Response. The rejection for obviousness-type double patenting is thus moot. Withdraw of the rejection for obviousness-type double patenting is requested.

New Claims 31-37

Claims 31-37 have been added. Claims 31-37 incorporate substantially the same limitations as contained in amended claim 27, and therefore are patentable for at least the above-stated reasons.

Conclusion

Claims 27-37 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Entry of the foregoing amendments is requested and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited. Please contact the undersigned at (503)796-2982 regarding any questions or concerns associated with the present matter.

Respectfully submitted,
Schwabe, Williamson and Wyatt, P.C.



Date: June 30, 2004

Aloysius AuYeung
Reg. No. 35,432